1	BEFORE THE MICHIGAN CITY			
2	RIVERBOAT GAMING EVALUATION COMMITTEE			
3				
4				
5	IN RE: THE PROPOSED)			
6	RIVERBOAT DEVELOPMENT)			
7	<u> </u>			
8				
9	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TRUMP PRESENTATION			
10	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TRUMP PRESENTATION			
11	The proceedings and presentations had before the			
12	Michigan City Riverboat Gaming Evaluation Committee,			
13	Pine Lake Hotel, 444 Pine Lake Avenue, LaPorte, Indiana,			
14	LaPorte County, State of Indiana, commencing at or about the			
15	hour of 11:30 a.m. on Monday, February 12, 1996.			
16				
17	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: ALSO PRESENT:			
18	DAVID E. ROSS, JR., M.D. MEMBERS OF THE TRUMP ROBERT W. SUNDWICK ORGANIZATION:			
19	ANN MARIE BOCHNOWSKI DON A. TABBERT			
20	DONALD RAYMOND VOWELS ALAN I. KLINEMAN ROBERT SWAN NICK RIBIS, CEO BOB PICKUS, GENERAL COUNSEL			
21	THOMAS F. MILCAREK MARK DEAN, VICE PRESIDENT JACK THAR INVESTMENT BANKING FIRM			
22	FLOYD HANNON KAY FLEMING			
23	VAI LIDRIING			
24	(As well as other members of the Trump organization/team)			
25				

Monday, February 12, 1996 --

(At or about 11:30 o'clock a.m., Gaming committee and members of the public present at the Pine Lake Hotel.)

MR. KLINEMAN: As you may know, there is a proposed acquisition of Trump Taj Mahal Associates by Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, Inc. which is an entity that basically is, I think, under the chart sort of a holding company.

And during a previous public offering Trump
Indiana, Inc. was created and became part of the Trump
Hotels and Casino resorts, Inc. Now we have another branch
of this coming into this proposed merger, and so we as a
commission in order to discharge our duties having given a
certificate of suitability to Trump which then went to Trump
Indiana, Inc. which then became part of the public offering,
we felt that it was very important that we ask the Trump
people to come and explain to us what was being proposed and
how it would affect the representations and the information
which had been received by this commission at the time the
certificate of suitability was issued.

We want nothing less as has been indicated to the Trump people than that which the citizens of Indiana could expect -- expected at the time the certificate was originally granted. We want the assurances that the Gary

project will, in fact, be carried out in a manner that was represented to us at the time during the hearings in Gary upon which this commission acted. So we have asked the Trump people to come in and explain to us where we are, where we are going and why this is something that we should not really be concerned about.

So with that background I would call on Mr.

Tabbert who is counsel for the Trump -- some of the

Trumps -- some of the entities.

MR. TABBERT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the commission, Mr. Thar and Mr. Hannon. Let me reintroduce to you the two persons that you know and a couple of others.

MR. KLINEMAN: Don, why don't you state your full name for the record so we can have that.

MR. TABBERT: I will do that, Don A. Tabbert with the law firm of Tabbert Hahn & Zanetis in Indianapolis, counsel for the Trump organization. Nick Ribis, as you remember, is the CEO of the entire Trump organization is with us. Bob Pickus, who you know quite well, general counsel, is with us.

We have Mark Dean who is the vice president of Donaldson Lufkin & Jenrette, the investment banking firm; Dan Rubino, who is a partner of Wilke Far & Gallagher, the corporate security council.

You have had a chance to see any of the documents. The Wilke Far firm has been extremely involved and instrumental in the entire merger. And we have Brian Budreau who is the executive director of administration for Trump. I'm happy to turn the meeting over to Mr. Ribis who is the CEO of the Trump organization.

MR. RIBIS: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Commissioners. Thank you for having us. And I appreciate the opportunity to come again before the commission with regard to this matter.

And I know that Mr. Pickus has corresponded with Mr. Far on a couple of occasions recently with respect to this matter. And I think that my job may have been a little bit more difficult because there have been some erroneous newspaper articles which just didn't properly describe the transaction.

And if I can take a step back, I just want to again thank the commission. And I wanted to note that the Ivy Academy -- Ivy Tech -- the commission staff was very helpful, Mr. Than and his staff, with respect to us getting our schools open both for Trump and Magestic.

Just the status of the project for two seconds, our boat is in the water. Its interior design is starting. We anticipate sailing from Jacksonville, Florida, on March 22nd. It will be totally outfitted although the slot

machines will not be functional. We hope to be at the mouth of the St. Lawrence April 1st.

Thereafter obviously we have to come into the harbor and have the commission inspect and do its work with respect to the opening of the facility. As to the landside work, as you know, although I consider it a very bad winter I guess it's been a relatively mild winter -- it wasn't today when I came in, I can tell you that much -- and the landside improvements are right on schedule.

Our main facility is being constructed as we speak. I'm going up to Buffington Harbor as soon as I finish here. The harbor itself is almost completed. The barge is being outfitted in Indiana and will be brought over to the site as soon as the harbor is finished in the next several weeks.

The -- half of the parking lot has been paved, the final paving obviously comes after the winter. All of the demolition is completed. The access is all completed and now they are doing the construction work. So as to the project itself it's right on target and moving along.

And I'm sorry for this confusion, sometimes simple things get complex. When I was here originally in the original public company application -- if you would look at -- it's a very simple model here -- Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts is a public company, is the public company.

It owns all of the individual operations that you see beneath it. Simply stated the stock of the public company, Trump Hotels, is approximately 60 percent owned by the public and 40 percent by Mr. Trump.

The initial transaction which the public company did in June when it was completed had THCR Atlantic City holdings. There was only one holding there and it was Trump Plaza at that time. As you can see, this is all separate and apart from Indiana.

Not only do we have to please the commission and have representations to the commission which are accurate, we have made representations to the public. And there have been some articles that are saying that we're over burdening the debt of Indiana with something that we are doing with the Taj Mahal which we'll talk about later. We are not permitted to do that. The Indiana project is a stand alone project.

When we went to the public market, there was no cash flow. There was no reality that the project would ever be completed so that the money that was raised for the public here for Indiana was frozen here.

That is, all money that was created out of Indiana remains in Indiana so that we are not permitted to take money from Indiana so that we can take it and put it into New Jersey. We couldn't do it then and we won't do it after

the transaction, which is a merger transaction, is completed.

And, in fact, as Mr. Pickus has said in his letter, and it's accurate, when this transaction is completed, there will be additional cash flow of approximately \$60 million a year which goes from New Jersey to Trump Hotels which is available for anything we need to do in Indiana.

In other words, there can't be any cash upflow but there can be cash downflow from the public company. So now what we're doing is -- as you know, we own the Taj Mahal in Atlantic City -- we are taking the Taj Mahal and we're making it part of Trump Atlantic City Holdings.

And Trump Atlantic City Holdings now will have

Trump Plaza which is an Atlantic City casino and Trump Taj

Mahal in order to clean up the debt structure of these two

companies. Currently Trump Taj Mahal has approximately \$800

million worth of first mortgage notes. There are

approximately \$330 million of first mortgage notes at Trump

Plaza.

What we are doing is we are taking these two companies on a debt level and we are going to have one company. Trump Atlantic City Holdings will have -- will raise approximately \$1.1 million of new debt. That debt will be used to pay off the current mortgages of these two

companies.

The reason why we are doing that is not to create more debt or new debt, is so that we can have cheaper debt. In other words, the interest rate will be substantially lower than the interest rates we are paying now. And more importantly the covenants which restrict the use of funds from Trump Atlantic City, now Trump Plaza, into Trump Hotels will be -- will be relaxed so we'll have the capability after we pay our mortgage. And if our net income rises to a certain level, we'll be able to upstream money to Trump Hotels.

It doesn't affect, A, Trump Hotels and Casinos or certainly doesn't affect anything we are doing in Indiana. We raised specific money for Indiana, the money is being spent in Indiana, and as this facility is successful as we anticipate, the money is captured here in Indiana.

So there has been some publicity which indicates we are burdening the public company with a whole bunch of debt which is not true, it's down here in the subsidiary level. And more importantly what the articles don't state is that the Taj Mahal is the most successful casino on an operating basis in the world. It earned over \$145 million in 1995.

That means that money is available in conjunction with Trump Plaza to Trump Atlantic City and more importantly

the net income -- if we meet our net income test which we believe will permit us to have \$60 million in 1996 upstreamed to Trump Hotels.

So I know it sounds complex but it really isn't.

And we made representations to this commission which were accurate then and today, and more importantly we made them to the public, it was accurate then and it is accurate today. The public was told, we are going to have a wholly owned subsidiary here, we still have that. The money will be captured here as we told you. The money will be spent in Indiana.

And more importantly I think the regulators in New Jersey don't want to have their New Jersey casinos to be involved directly with the Indiana casinos just like you don't want this casino to be involved with the New Jersey casinos. And you don't want anything that's going on here to affect what's going on there. Well, the public was told that it wouldn't and it's still factually accurate.

And I think that a lot has been said which is just inaccurate. Now, just finally I want to point out that the merger which we are doing -- Trump Taj Mahal, its stock, its equity ownership will become part of Trump Hotels and Casinos, so it will own now as part of the public company -- the equity will be merged into the equity of Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts.

So not only are we receiving the best operating casino in the world but we're also having the equity of that casino and Trump Hotels which makes this company the second or third largest company in the -- in the hotel casino business. And obviously the efficiencies in New Jersey are the reason why we are doing it.

That's just a general outline. As I said, there were specific questions which are answered in Mr. Pickus' letter. I just -- I'm sure the commission has questions, and I will be glad to answer them.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, I'll start off by saying you -- thank you, Mr. Ribis, very much. Thank you.

You have now said that the money, the Indiana money, will be captured in Indiana and not go upstream.

MR. RIBIS: Until -- until the income tests here are met which will require -- which would require this entity to have -- and it's down the road because we have commitments that we have to meet obviously. We have a whole master plan we're doing on the site with Magestics, formerly pardoned -- whatever it was called -- which we are developing now which includes hotels and other developments which obviously are going to require the cash from this casino.

MR. KLINEMAN: Now, we have received the voluminous security documents for which I thank you very

1 much.

MR. RIBIS: That's why I have Mr. Rubino here, he had a hand in writing those items.

MR. KLINEMAN: I wish that someone would point out to me the document that says that the Indiana money shall remain in the Indiana entity until such time as all of your commitments in Indiana are fulfilled and then also your additional representation which is that money, however, will be available upstream from Atlantic City to the holding company and then down to Indiana should Indiana need some additional moneys. I mean that's the type of document that will make me feel warm and comfortable.

MR. RIBIS: And we have that and -- although Dan can get up and explain it we can submit those documents to Mr. Pickus by tomorrow or whatever day later this week so that you could look at the specific explanations. And, therefore, in our final documents to the public if the commission needs any specific other information included in the disclosure, we would be glad to put it in.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. And along the same lines of Mr. Pickus' letter of February 5th says, "No other assets or property of Trump Hotels Casino Resorts or -- and no assets or properties of Trump Indiana will secure such indebtedness. Furthermore, the indebtedness will be nonrecourse to Trump Hotels Casino Resort and Trump

1 Indiana."

MR. RIBIS: That's all correct.

MR. KLINEMAN: In other words, this is -- the mortgage that you are talking about -- the mortgage financing of -- the refinancing of Plaza and Taj Mahal, it is nonrecourse there --

MR. RIBIS: -- or over here. Certainly all it does is its first mortgage on two buildings in Atlantic City, there is no recourse of Trump Hotels and certainly none over to the Indiana. That's in a document, I could assure you of, that and we can supply that. Is that correct, Dan?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. RIBIS: Yes.

MR. KLINEMAN: I would like to -- I would like to see that.

MR. RIBIS: Well, we'll include that also.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone else have any questions now or should we -- there are other people here who might lend some light but --

MR. RIBIS: Well, I think that -- as I said, Mr. Pickus and Mr. Rubino are here. But the information you asked is in the documents so we could submit it to you in writing. And, you know, I don't think there is going to be any -- there is no question in my mind as to what we're

1 doing and I don't think there is any question in my counsel's mind or my general counsel's mind, I just want to 2 3 make it more simple to the commission. MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. The other thing, I guess, we ought to restate for the record is that in connection with 5 the initial public offerings there was, in fact, an escrow 6 established, a Gary escrow. 8 MR. RIBIS: Yes. That's correct. 9 MR. KLINEMAN: Some of the people may have 10 forgotten who have been looking over --11 MR. RIBIS: Yes. 12 MR. KLINEMAN: -- this material. 13 MR. RIBIS: It's still -- it's still -- it's 14 required by the trust agreement and by the indenture and by 15 the documents to be bound by that, and we are obviously 16 legally bound by that. 17 MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. And the money, therefore, 18 which has been spent and is being spent to do the initial 19 phase of the Gary project the total of which is some --20 MR. RIBIS: Sixty million dollars initially. 21 MR. KLINEMAN: -- sixty million dollars approximately. Thirty or 31 of that -- 35 of that has, in 22 23 fact, been a cash escrow out of which moneys have been drawn to do the work that has been done. 24 25 MR. RIBIS: That's correct.

1	MR. KLINEMAN: The initial financing is supposed
2	to be equipment financing and financing partial financing
3	on the construction of the vessel.
4	MR. RIBIS: That's correct.
5	MR. KLINEMAN: But there is a substantial equity
6	gone into the vessel itself, is that not correct?
7	MR. RIBIS: Yes, about \$10 million into the vessel
8	and about so far we have spent about \$30 million, Bob?
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thirty-one.
10	MR. RIBIS: Thirty-one million. So as of this
11	MR. KLINEMAN: Thirty-one million dollars on the
12	ground in Gary?
13	MR. RIBIS: Yes, on the ground in Gary.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And the boat.
15	MR. RIBIS: And the boat is that included
16	also 31 in total. There will be an additional 5 to \$10
17	million spent before we open, and that's all out of the
18	initial escrow agreement that was created when we did the
19	public offering.
20	MR. KLINEMAN: And the rest is going to be
21	financed as you and I have just indicated
22	MR. RIBIS: Yes.
23	MR. KLINEMAN: By financing on the vessel itself
24	plus the equipment financing?
25	MR. RIBIS: Yes.

MR. KLINEMAN: And those will be normal type debts in connection with the creation of this type of an operation?

MR. RIBIS: It would be normal commercial -- we do it in all of our businesses -- there will be equipment financing, for example, on a portion of the slot machines and other equipment and other normal debt -- business debt that --

MR. KLINEMAN: And if I remember, those were all things that were talked about when we initially issued the certificate of suitability that there would, in fact, be financing for equipment and partial financing for the boat and so forth?

MR. RIBIS: Yes. In fact, there was a letter written by Donaldson Lufkin & Jenrette then which indicated the equity contribution versus the debt going forward, yes.

MR. KLINEMAN: And then the additional moneys which are part of the conditions of the certificate of suitability including the hotel and the other things which you have promised to do for the citizens of the City of Gary, those items will, in fact, be financed then out of the moneys which will be generated in the Gary project?

MR. RIBIS: Well, there is really three ways, in addition to the Gary project what we're doing now provides

us for additional cash flow at the parent company level so that if we needed additional funds from Trump Hotels, we now have cash flow not only at the Gary level but also at the parent company level so that we are able to have two sources of cash, the Gary cash from operations but more importantly the Trump Hotels cash which we estimate to be about \$60 million a year in addition to what we've told the commission.

So it provides additional cash and it doesn't cost us more money. The hotel company now has additional cash flow.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: But that hotel -- but that additional cash flow you are talking about also has to be divided among your other operations, correct?

MR. RIBIS: No. What happens is, Commissioner, is that there is what we call a net income test in the new indenture which says that if you make a certain net income ratio test, you are able to upstream money to the public company.

So what happens is because you are combining the debt with two assets and the operational profits of those two assets and reducing our interest cost, immediately we will meet the net income test as we complete the transaction which provides us with the cash to take up to the parent company, the public company.

Under the current indentures, which there are two separate indentures, one for \$330 million at Trump Plaza and one for almost \$800 million at the Taj Mahal, that you could never meet the net income tests because they are so complicated and they are not integrated.

Now we are integrating it in one instrument and we know we could project going forward what our net income will be and what's available to the public company. We couldn't do that before.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Right. But what I'm saying is that that additional cash flow isn't just going to Gary? I mean --

MR. RIBIS: Oh, no. No. Obviously -- obviously here there will be substantial -- if we are right, there will be well in excess of \$250 million a year which are generated out of the Atlantic City casinos. Obviously a portion of that will be reinvested in New Jersey and kept in New Jersey to pay interest and operating costs. And then there will be another portion available to the public company.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, and you also have to meet the dividend, whatever is declared at the holding company level, the public company level; isn't that correct?

MR. RIBIS: Yes. That's correct.

MR. KLINEMAN: Have there been any dividends

yet --

MR. RIBIS: No. I don't think you'll see any dividends out of the holding company in the foreseeable future, no. We disclosed that we didn't anticipate any in the early years of the public company. We wanted to use the money for development.

MR. BOCHNOWSKI: The chart I have here is a little more complicated than the chart you have there.

MR. RIBIS: Well, the chart you have in your book is so complicated I -- I can't even work off it.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I'm trying to make sure that you are not over simplifying it in order -- you know, I mean it helps us understand it but then I don't want to miss something because it's simplified.

MR. RIBIS: Commissioner, I was going to work off that chart and to be honest with you I said to -- I said to my two lawyers -- I said, just give me the accurate chart without all of the accounting functions that you have, where the money goes and comes from.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Right.

MR. RIBIS: These charts -- I wouldn't be standing here before you, as you know. I've done this many times, these charts are accurate, and they are fully accurate. We simplified them only to the extent that we could follow the money, where the money is and where the entities are, that's

1 why we did that. But it accurately reflects where we are. 2 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Okay. It makes me nervous when I 3 see this and then I see that and they don't look the same. MR. RIBIS: Well, they are the same. I mean they 5 are divided -- if you look at the arrows, they -- you still 6 have your unrestricted -- your unrestricted subsidiary 7 companies which is what we have here. 8 I wonder if maybe you have, as Ann has MR. THAR: 9 just indicated, oversimplified something, where you have 10 THCR Atlantic City holdings -- sir, with the camera, can you 11 move out of the way, please, Mr. Channel 2, 22 -- our chart 12 which shows that there is an AC holdings underneath the THCR 13 holdings, is that the way the structure really is? 14 Yes. But that is just -- is that for MR. RIBIS: 15 the debt? 16 MR. THAR: Are there significant restrictions 17 within AC holdings that certain debt has to be paid off 18 before there is any upflow money? 19 MR. RIBIS: No. No debt has to be paid off, we 20 have to make the net income test. These are -- these are 21 interest only notes for 10 years so there is no repayment 22 requirement at AC holdings other than the interest and the 23 net -- meeting the net income ratio test. Am I right, Dan? 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's correct. 25 MR. THAR: What if the interest cuts -- the

1 anticipated interest payment is going to have to occur in AC 2 holdings before it moves up. MR. RIBIS: The annual interest will be in the 3 area of 100 to \$110 million, maybe projected higher in the 5 transaction, but the interest rate, that's what we anticipate. 6 MR. THAR: You are saying there is no provision 7 for the long-term payment -- paying off of that long-term --8 9 MR. RIBIS: We have no amortization, no. 10 MR. THAR: -- holdings? 11 MR. RIBIS: There is no amortization. The bonds 12 are interest only bonds for 10 years. In fact, we have a no 13 call provision for five -- we can't call any portion of that 14 debt for five years. MR. THAR: Then how do the bonds get paid off? 15 16 MR. RIBIS: They get paid off at the end or get 17 refinanced, that's usually how high yield deals are done. In other words, there's usually -- it's very unusual to 18 19 have --MR. THAR: You are just going to be carrying 20 21 interest payments for 10 years? 22 That's correct. There's significant MR. RIBIS: 23 -- there would be significant financial penalties if we 24 attempted to pay off any amortization. I know it sounds

unusual because we all own homes but this is the way -- this

is the way these things are structured.

We have a five year no call -- is that correct, Mark?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, five year no call.

MR. RIBIS: We can't -- we can't even do anything with respect to that for five years. And after the fifth year there is a penalty if you repay your interest. In other words, you will pay over par for your principal if you repay the interest prior to about the seventh year.

MR. KLINEMAN: You repay your principal.

MR. RIBIS: I'm sorry. So that -- it's structured so that you only pay interest mandatorily for almost eight years. They don't want their principal back.

MR. KLINEMAN: Except if you default.

MR. RIBIS: Oh, if you default or you want to pay them three times as much as the principal or face amount of the principal. I know it's unusual but most high yield deals are that way.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Where does this -- because you have got -- I mean even though that is part of what this is, on top of this you have your common stockholders and you have various other and you have got Donald Trump there, what does this do to the people who you -- I mean we had a lot of discussions about local investors but, in fact, you did include some local investors, you did include a charitable

Now, where does that put all of that? 1 2 MR. RIBIS: The charitable trust is part of the joint development agreement, I think Mr. Pickus -- and it's 3 -- he could address that. But as the investors, you know, were having discussions and agreed not to discuss that 5 publicly. But I can say -- I can tell the commission that 7 8 they are moving along and our attorneys have met and I 9 think -- I'm hopeful that it will be resolved. MS. BOCHNOWSKI: We really don't want to get into 10 11 We want you to resolve that. 12 As to the trust, I know it's part of MR. RIBIS: the joint agreement development. In fact, the city's 13 14 counsel is here and it will be all part and parcel of what 15 we finally execute with the city, am I right? 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 17 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: The only time that those local 18 investors would be a problem for you would be if you can't 19 come to some agreement. 20 MR. RIBIS: Well, it depends on what their legal 21 rights are, you know. 22 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Right. 23 MR. RIBIS: You know, I really -- I don't know 24 that we should get into that discussion here. I think that

right now we are moving toward a resolution.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, we want you to work with 1 2 the people of Indiana and the people of Gary in good faith, 3 you know. Do you see what I'm saying? If you represented 4 one thing --Well, I never -- if you remember what 5 MR. RIBIS: happened before the commission -- and I don't want to go 6 7 back over history, I think the record will reflect some of 8 the discussions that were held before the commission a long

because it's not an issue with us now.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: No. No, it really isn't. I just

want to make sure that this is not going a change anything

time ago with respect to local investors and their ability

to, in fact, invest. I don't want to go back over that

14 in regard to local --

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RIBIS: Oh, no. No. No. We're not touching -- we're not touching -- as I said to the chairman, we are not touching anything here, no. We have the same responsibility we had before.

MR. THAR: The income test you talked about having to meet before the money flows up to public company --

MR. RIBIS: Yes.

MR. THAR: -- is that set out?

MR. RIBIS: We will set it out -- if you try -- if you try and go through the documents it's very simple if you put it in -- there is a summary, I believe -- can we prepare

a summary, Bob?

MR. PICKUS: If I could, Mr. Thar, in response to that question or request that the chairman made, many of -- although we have a conceptual agreement with the investment bankers as to how this transaction is going to work some of the -- many of the actual documents themselves have not yet been drafted. Those will be drafted and be prepared over the course of the next couple of months as the documents are completed by the SEC and as investment bankers continue to do their job.

So as those documents become finalized which will include things like the income tests, they will provide those to the commission. We can certainly point out to you in the documents which have been filed with the SEC, the summary descriptions of those provisions. But in terms of actual documentation, most of those documents do not yet exist but, of course, they will be drafted over the course of the coming weeks.

MR. KLINEMAN: I would then like to see if the documents aren't in existence -- to answer questions that have been talked about here today I would like to see at least something in the -- in the nature of a letter of intent or something saying -- saying we intend to enter into agreements which will have the following provisions to be signed by the parties who are -- I guess the underwriters

would want to -- I would want to see the underwriters write off on that.

MR. RIBIS: We have already -- we have already disclosed generally what we are doing as in these documents themselves, we have already made those disclosures. And what Bob is saying that the underlying documents are being prepared as we speak.

But we've already represented to the Securities

Exchange Commission and to the public the transaction. The

finality of those documents will be in in a couple of weeks.

But we can point out in the current documents the questions
you asked.

MR. KLINEMAN: If you would point out in the current documents where some of the questions that we have been asking today have been answered and to the extent that you do not have current documents answering the questions that we have asked today, I would like to see something in writing in the nature of a letter of intent -- that's probably the wrong nomenclature but that's the way I think -- saying that a document will be entered into that will have the following income provisions or whatever so that we then get a full packet within the next couple of weeks because this commission has to decide whether it feels that it must take some action in connection with this merger or whether or not as you have urged on this commission

that -- that we are just as well off as we were the day we gave the letter or the certificate to you and that, therefore, we should take no action in connection with this merger, and we want to be assured before we make that decision.

MR. RIBIS: You will have all -- you will have what you have asked for within a week I can assure you. It's there and ready to be sent and we'll prepare it and get it to Mr. Thar, and I'm sure he will circulate it to the commissioners.

MR. KLINEMAN: Are there any other questions.

MR. SWAN: I would like to ask one more question.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Yes. Please go ahead.

MR. KLINEMAN: We may have one more question.

MR. SWAN: We talked a moment ago about AC holdings and the senior debt. If AC holdings has problems making its obligation on the senior debt instruments, are the assets of Trump Indiana subject to claims of those debt holders?

MR. RIBIS: None, they have no claim on Indiana at all, and they have no claim on New Jersey either. It's really nonrecourse that it's basically unsecured debt. They call it senior subordinated that's but why the interest rates are so high on that type of debt.

MR. KLINEMAN: Any other questions?

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Yeah. I have a couple of questions. One, I just want to make sure I'm getting this right, you are saying the Taj Mahal is the most successful --

MR. RIBIS: On an operating basis -- on an operating basis it earned about \$145 million in cash flow this year.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: You have to understand I'm not the accountant on the commission but I'm seeing that you have net losses there.

MR. RIBIS: Yeah, but you always net -- we have depreciation. You are looking at net income. If you look up -- if you look up on the operating line, you will see operating profit. And off of operating profit come non cash items such as depreciation, certain allocations of costs.

And I think most casinos on a net income basis, not only us but most casinos are not profitable because we have such -- it's a building that costs over a billion dollars to build so our depreciation on an annual -- annually is very, very high and that gets offset. After your operating profits then you have depreciation and non cash items and it's not unusual to have net losses.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So, in other words, the cash is there, it's just not on an accounting basis.

MR. RIBIS: That's correct.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I don't know -- this business has 1 got me stumped, I'll tell you that. How do people make 2 3 money? MR. KLINEMAN: They make money because people come and bring them money. 5 6 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I know but they have got all this 7 debt. MR. RIBIS: It's not like when you -- when you pay 8 9 your mortgage, you have to have the cash to pay your 10 mortgage. Obviously here we have to have cash to -- to pay 11 our employees which are -- which are over -- with the two 12 casinos -- which are over 10,000, to pay our mortgages, to rehabilitate the buildings, to reinvest our money and so 13 that on a cash basis it generated about \$145 million of 14 operating cash flow but that's not all the cash it generated 15 16 so that it's a very, very successful business. MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I understand. I understand what 17 18 you are saying. Okay. There was one other question I had -- which one is it? There is one -- no, go ahead. 19 20 MR. RIBIS: Is it Mr. Pickus' salary because I 21 question that too. 22 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I don't care how much you people 23 are making. 24 MR. THAR: Ann, while you are looking I have a 25 question to ask. That will put two of Trump's three casino

1 hotels in Atlantic City into the public company? That's correct. 2 MR. RIBIS: 3 At least one outside of the public MR. THAR: 4 companies is controlled solely then by Mr. Trump? 5 MR. RIBIS: That's correct. 6 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: That was my question too. 7 ahead. That's what I was looking for. 8 MR. THAR: Where does that put Mr. Trump's 9 loyalties in terms of competition? 10 You sound like one of my investors. MR. RIBIS: 11 Those are the questions we always get on our road shows. 12 There is no question on the question of conflicts. 13 obviously we had -- obviously before we did any of this we 14 had three public companies in Atlantic City, each had public debt so -- and they all had independent boards of directors. 15 16 And the question -- all of them had their own 17 separate audit committees and codes of ethics. And in the years I have been with Mr. Trump over the last five and a 18 19 half years there has never been any issue as to loyalty or 20 conflicts because you are required to operate each 21 independently. 22 The advantages here now with rolling in the Taj 23 Mahal and Trump Plaza you have a single debt instrument so 24 that there are certain efficiencies that we can now glean

from these operations which we couldn't when they were

1 separate and had separate debt instruments on them. MR. KLINEMAN: Do you have any estimate of what 3 the interest rate is going to be on the interest --Well, I have my investment banker MR. RIBIS: 5 here. We think it's going to be in the 10s, he thinks it's going to be in the 11s, that's something we'll have to 6 7 negotiate as we go on the road. 8 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: We've been hearing 13. 9 MR. KLINEMAN: No. We have been hearing 18. What 10 were you at before? 11 MR. RIBIS: Fifteen and a half on the holding 12 company debt if you recall. 13 MR. THAR: Let's go back to the Trump Castle, 14 versus Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, Inc. Let's assume 15 that Trump Castle is having a hard time it's losing with 16 competition, with Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, what is 17 Donald Trump -- what actions is he going to take? 18 First of all, you have to start from MR. RIBIS: 19 the basis that it's very successful right now. If it had a 20 problem, it would have to be separately dealt with away from 21 the public company. The public company would have no 22 contact on a debt or an equity basis with that. 23 So assuming that worst case basis that something 24 -- that you couldn't pay your debt -- couldn't pay your 25 interest on your debt at the Castle, Mr. Trump would have --

1 and the board of directors there which is separate and apart 2 and different from the public company would have to deal with that. 3 4 MR. THAR: What are the checks and balances put in 5 place with regard to Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts to guaranty that Mr. Trump's efforts will always be at a 6 7 certain level with regard to the success of that company? 8 And is there somewhere within this documentation that you 9 can you point that out to us? 10 MR. RIBIS: Sure. They were specifically placed 11 in the documents as to the question of conflict and the 12 responsibility of Mr. Trump and we could point those out, Mr. Thar. 13 14 MR. THAR: Let's go back to the charitable trust -- charitable foundation which you indicated is part 15 16 of discussions between Trump Indiana and Atlantic City, just 17 how in theory is this supposed to work? 18 MR. PICKUS: I can address that, Mr. Thar. 19 with respect to the Trump Foundation --20 MR. KLINEMAN: Do you want to state your name, 21 Bob, and spell it, please, for the record. 22 MR. PICKUS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Robert Pickus, 23 executive vice president and general counsel of Trump Hotels 24 and Casinos.

The Trump Foundation, which is an Indiana

charitable foundation, has been formed as a corporation in the state of Indiana. We are currently in the process of preparing the application for the Internal Revenue Service for a charitable status.

The specifics of the operation of that foundation are something that we just last week began or I should say renewed discussions with the City of Gary.

MR. THAR: I'm not too concerned about the operations, I'm concerned about the funding.

MR. PICKUS: I will get to that in a second, Jack, so that's moving forward. The original proposal with respect to the funding of the foundation contemplated a certain percentage of equity holding in the foundation of the Trump subsidiary.

As part of the public offering of last June the structure of that changed a bit. The original concept to put the -- some percentage of equity holding in the foundation was merely to provide a vehicle for the funding of the foundation to ensure that the foundation had adequate funding to fulfill its charitable purposes.

What we are currently structuring -- and the details should be resolved within the next week or two, I would say about the end of next week -- is a mechanism whereby the foundation will be funded to the same financial equivalent that it would have been funded had it, in fact,

held the equity that was originally contemplated.

And again the equity holding was only to provide a funding mechanism. So by providing an alternative equivalent financial mechanism we are confident and we believe that both the city and the commission will agree that the funding will provide the foundation with the same level of funds to provide outside services and its goals.

MR. THAR: The funding would provide the income, what about the assets? Would 7 and a half percent stock be an asset?

MR. PICKUS: It would be an asset under the foundation laws. And one of the lawyers we didn't bring with us unfortunately is the lawyer who is helping establish foundation. Foundation is prohibited from trading anyway, so there is no value to that we don't believe.

And like I said, there is really no value to the foundation of owning an asset because it's prohibited from trading. The real value is in the income stream it gets that it can then use to distribute and use for its charitable purposes.

And by setting up a mechanism that provides an equivalent so that the funding will be exactly the same as it would have been before, we believe the same goals and intentions that we expressed with this commission before this certificate was awarded will be met.

MR. THAR: You're saying that you don't need to 1 2 put 7 and a half percent of the ownership in the foundation, 3 you just need to fund it. MR. PICKUS: That's correct. 5 MR. THAR: And you are saying that that's the same? 6 MR. PICKUS: Correct. 8 MR. RIBIS: The ownership was at this level so 9 what you do is since the cash -- it's easy to track the 10 cash, you just take the 7 and a half percent, is that what 11 you're saying --12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pretty much. 13 MR. RIBIS: -- to put it into stock. 14 foundation is it doesn't have the liquidity to do what it 15 needs to do, that's the way it was explained to me. 16 MR. THAR: I'm going to assume that since you said 17 there won't be any -- when will the foundation get dividends 18 or get an income flow equal to, what, 7 and a half would 19 have gotten in terms of dividends if they had held the stock 20 past 7.5 --21 I think what we are MR. PICKUS: Right. 22 anticipating now is on the annual basis at the end of each 23 calendar year that after -- we need to account for the

moneys that the Trump Indiana project generates which will

be done on an annual basis consistent with our other

24

1 financial obligations with respect to tax payments. 2 MR. THAR: How is that going to happen? Is that described in any document that's filed with the company in 3 the public originally when Trump Indiana was formed under 4 Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, or is it described under 5 6 any of the present documents? 7 MR. PICKUS: When you say how is that going to 8 happen, which that are we talking about? 9 If you look at the somatics, Trump MR. THAR: Indiana 100 percent owned by THCR holdings, correct? 10 11 MR. PICKUS: Correct. Indiana Riverboat Casino in Buffington 12 MR. THAR: Harbor is a subsidiary underneath that at least that's what 13 14 you are talking about? 15 MR. PICKUS: Right. MR. THAR: How you how does Trump Indiana get 16 money down to what would be equivalent to 7.5 percent 17 ownership interest funding back to the City of Gary, how is 18 19 that accomplished? MR. PICKUS: Very simply. Trump Indiana as we 20 explained and is explained, I believe, in the documents is a 21 stand alone operation, it's a separate entity, it's a 22 23 separate company. MR. THAR: Plus you have obligations going to the 24 25 parent company, does it not?

1 It does not. No, it does not. MR. RIBIS: 2 MR. THAR: So it can dispose of its income as it 3 chooses? MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, now, wait. You are saying 5 if it meets --MR. KLINEMAN: It has an obligation to its 6 7 shareholder which is upstream. MR. RIBIS: In other words, we can't give Donald Trump \$50 million or -- what we have is an obligation here, 10 a fiduciary obligation, to the public company but we have no 11 contractual obligation to disperse moneys in an upward 12 direction if the cash flow is at this level until it meets 13 the obligation. One of those obligations would be one of 14 the things we discussed previously and this foundation which 15 is all disclosed, am I right? 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 17 MR. THAR: You will point that out with the 18 explanations too? 19 MR. RIBIS: Absolutely. 20 MR. KLINEMAN: Anything further? I would like all 21 of these questions to be answered in one concise document 22 with a road map so we can find our way through them with 23 exhibits attached and so forth. Rather than piece mail I 24 would like to see it all in one package. 25 It will be all in one document within MR. RIBIS:

1 a week, we'll get it to the commission. 2 MR. KLINEMAN: Anything further? Thank you very 3 much. 4 MR. RIBIS: Thank you for the opportunity. 5 MR. KLINEMAN: And we'll look forward to receiving the additional information and you will be advised what the 6 7 commission's position is in regard to this merger. 8 you. 9 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I do have one other question. 10 MR. RIBIS: Yes. 11 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: What -- tell me what your time 12 line is on this. When is all this, you know -- on this 13 whole offering and everything what --14 MR. RIBIS: I think we were just talking about 15 that today, obviously we have to get initial comments from 16 the Securities Exchange Commission on our S4, which is the 17 merger document today. 18 We expect the other comments within two weeks on 19 the S1 which is the entire transaction. Whenever the 20 Securities and Exchange Commission permits us, then we have 21 to go out and obviously go on a boat road show to sell it 22 which would be early to mid March, probably early April is 23 when the closing would take place. 24 MR. KLINEMAN: Are we going to Europe again on the

25

road show?

1 MR. RIBIS: We are, we are doing two stops, I think we're doing Paris and -- we were talking about that --3 Paris and London. MR. KLINEMAN: I think the executive directors 5 ought to go along. 6 MR. RIBIS: So I would say April 10th to April 7 20th in that time line. MR. THAR: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Thiros is here from 8 9 the City, do you want to see if he has got any brief 10 comments? 11 MR. KLINEMAN: That would be fine. Would you 12 state your name for our record. 13 MR. THIROS: My name is Nick Thiros. 14 MR. KLINEMAN: Welcome. 15 MR. THIROS: Good afternoon. I'm here on behalf 16 of the City of Gary. First of all, I would like to express 17 my condolences to Ms. Bocknowski for not being able to 18 understand what these figures are all about because I don't 19 either quite honestly. I'm not a money man but I appreciate 20 what you are going through. And you can understand what we 21 are going through trying to understand what you are trying 22 to understand so we share the same concerns that you do. 23 We are concerned that the City of Gary in the long

run is going to receive exactly what the Trump organization

promised before they were issued their certificate of

24

suitability. And quite frankly my just getting involved in this I have found that the people that I have been dealing with on behalf of the Trump organization are straight forward and straight up people.

And I'm glad to say that at least from that standpoint that I think that we are going to get the answers that we need. But we are concerned that the Trump organization -- that the Gary operation is independent of the Atlantic City operation and that whatever is going to flow from that operation will flow, first of all, to its commitment to the state of Indiana and to the citizens of Gary.

We are also concerned, by the way, with the 7 and a half charitable trust agreement that they set up, that they promised that they would set up, and we are interested in seeing that that is fulfilled. And there is a problem as I see it as Mr. Thar has expressed, the CS, the certificate of suitability, indicated that 7 and a half percent of the total operation would be set aside for a charitable trust, and that to me means an interest, 7 and a half interest, in this -- in this operation not 7 and a half percent of the income, there is a little difference. I think between the two of us somehow we'll get that resolved but we do have these concerns as well as you do.

The only thing that I would like to ask at this

time is that the commission keep us advised as you are going along as to the decisions that you are making so that we can have some input as to just exactly what it is that you are thinking of doing.

We would like to have copies of everything you have requested of them so that we can in turn examine and have our financial people look at these documents and make sure that they are nonrecourse notes as they have indicated that they are and that their interest is our interest as well and that the City of Gary and the state of Indiana are both protected from whatever happens in Atlantic City.

I don't think that that -- I don't think that we have anything to be concerned about quite honestly.

However, I would like to -- to impress upon you that we would like to have copies of whatever you are getting being supplied to us at the same time.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: There's one other -- pardon me.

MR. THAR: I can't agree to that at this time because, Nick, we have received some documents which are confidential under state law.

MR. THIROS: Okay.

MR. THAR: I can't share those with you unless the Trump organization allows me to which means it would be much easier if we just simply request the Trump organization copies if you want of anything they send to us and vice

1	versa.	
2	MR. THIROS: I think that would be fine.	
3	MR. THAR: You can get it from them.	
4 .	MR. RIBIS: We don't have a problem.	
5	MR. THIROS: No problem, that's fine. They have	
6	indicated before that there would be no problem, that would	
7	be good.	
8	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: There's just one other thing, I	
9	mean at this point they have a certificate of suitability.	
10	MR. THIROS: Yes.	
11	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: We don't grant a license until at	
12	least the initial commitments have been fulfilled; isn't	
13	that correct?	
14	MR. THIROS: True as I understand it.	
15	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: That's the way	
16	MR. THAR: I think it's important that for a	
17	certificate of suitability to be accomplished or	
18	substantially accomplished before we grant a license.	
19	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Right, so we have that	
20	protection.	
21	MR. THIROS: I understand.	
22	MR. KLINEMAN: And we do have the discussion which	
23	Mr. Thar started which you have picked up on a little bit	
24	but the question of whether or not the foundation will,	
25	quote, "own" a 7 and a half percent interest in the Trump	

operation in Gary or whether or not it will be entitled to a 1 2 7 and a half percent flow off of the operation in Gary. I mean that's a question that needs to be resolved. MR. THIROS: We began to discuss that last week 5 with Mr. Pickus and Mr. Lufkin. We didn't delve into it too much because that was not the purpose at that particular 6 meeting. However, as the development agreement is 7 finalized, that's one of the issues, of course, that needs to be addressed and we hope to address it to our 10 satisfaction as well as to the commission's. 11 MR. KLINEMAN: Well, if you are satisfied, we 12 would be satisfied. 13 MR. THIROS: Yes. 14 MR. KLINEMAN: That would be one of the ways I 15 would like to say because -- well, that's --16 MR. THIROS: Yes, I understand. Thank you for the 17 opportunity to speak. 18 MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Anyone else? All right. 19 Well, thank you for being here. Is there any other items of 20 business that come before this meeting? I'm not sure if we 21 really are in a position to set our next meeting at the 22 present time, should we wait until the conclusion of 23 tomorrow maybe? 24 MR. THAR: Yes.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. So we will then adjourn this

1	session until this afternoon and we'll come back at
2	MR. THAR: We were originally to start at 1:15, I
3	might suggest 1:30 or 1:35, that would give us 45 minutes
4	for lunch is that enough time?
5	MR. KLINEMAN: How about 1:35. We will be back
6	then to start the proceedings on the licensing in Michigan
7	city.
8	
9	
10	(Proceedings adjourned at or about 12:45 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	000
25	

1	STATE OF INDIANA)
2) SS:
3	COUNTY OF LAPORTE)
4	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, Natalie Bearickx, CSR, and duly authorized to
6	administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 12th day
7	of February, 1996, at the Pine Lake Hotel, 444 Pine Lake
8	Avenue, LaPorte, Indiana, State of Indiana, commencing at or
9	about the hour of 11:30 a.m., I then and there reported the
10	proceedings had before the Riverboat Evaluation Committee;
11	I further certify that I reported said proceedings by
12	the means of machine shorthand and that I have transcribed
13	my original shorthand notes through the use of
14	computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form and
15	that the foregoing and attached pages or parts of pages
16	number inclusively two through forty-four comprise a true,
17	correct, complete and accurate transcript of said
18	proceedings;
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
20	official seal this 19th day of February, 1996.
21	Matalie Bearickx
22	Natalle Bearlexx
23	
24	THIS CERTIFICATE APPLIES ONLY TO THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT HEREOF AND DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY XEROX COPIES MADE OF THIS
25	TRANSCRIPT.

	Indiana and an analysis		lo a company
\$	ACTIONS (1) 30:17	AWARDED (1) 34:25	CASINOS 1101 8:12 9:13,14,16,
	ACTUAL (2) 24:6,16	AWAY (1) 30:20	23 17:16 27:16,17 28:12 31:24
\$1.1 m 7:24	ADDITION (2) 16:25,7	В	CASTLE (3) 30:13,15 31:25
\$10 (2) 14:7,16	ADDITIONAL (12) 7:5 11:8,11		CEO (2) 3:19 4:6
\$110 m 20:4	14:16	BACK (9) 4:17 21:13 23:7,10	CERTAIN 171 8:10 16:17 19:17
\$145 (3) 8:22 27:6 28:14		30:13 31:14 35:18 43:1,5	27:15 29:24 31:7 32:13
\$250 m 17:15	ADDRESS (3) 22:4 31:18 42:9 ADDRESSED (1) 42:9	BACKGROUND (1) 3:7	CERTAINLY (4) 8:13 12:7,9 24:
\$30 m 14:8		BAD (1) 5:6	113
\$330 (2) 7:19 17:2	ADEQUATE (1) 32:19 ADJOURN (1) 43:25	BALANCES (1) 31:4	CERTIFICATE (13) 2:15,21,24
\$50 m 36:9	ADJOURNED (1) 43:20	BANKER (1) 30:4	15:11,19 26:2 34:25 39:25,17 41:9,17 44:4,24
\$60 (3) 7:6 9:2 16:6	ADMINISTER (1) 44:6	BANKERS (2) 24:5,9	CERTIFY (2) 44:6,11
\$800 (2) 7:17 17:3	ADMINISTRATION (1) 4:4	BANKING m 3:23	CHAIRMAN (6) 3:10 4:8 23:16
1	ADVANTAGES (1) 29:22	BARGE (1) 5:13	24:3 31:22 38:8
40.04.00.40.04	ADVISED (2) 37:6 40:1	BASICALLY (2) 2:8 26:22	
10 (3) 19:21 20:12,21	AFFECT (4) 2:19 8:12,13 9:17	BASIS (11) 8:22 27:5,6,16,24 28: 14 30:19,22,23 34:22 35:25	CHANGE (1) 23:13
10,000 m 28:12	AFTERNOON (2) 38:15 43:1	BEARICKX (1) 44:5	CHANGED (1) 32:16
100 (2) 20:4 35:10	AGO (2) 23:9 26:15	BECAME 121 2:11,16	CHANNEL (1) 19:11
10S m 30:5 10TH m 38:6	AGREE (2) 33:5 40:18	BECOME (2) 9:22 24:11	CHARITABLE (10) 22:25.2 31:
11:30 (3) 1:15 2:2 44:9	AGREED (1) 22:5	BEGAN (2) 32:6 42:4	14,15 32:1,4,20 33:20 39:14,19
11S m 30:6	AGREEMENT (8) 13:14 14:18	BEHALF (2) 38:15 39:3	CHART 171 2:8 18:7,8,9,16,17
12 (2) 1:15 2:1	22:3,13,19 24:4 39:14 42:7	BELIEVE (6) 9:2 24:25 33:5,15,	19:11
12:45 m 43:10	AGREÉMENTS (1) 24:24	23 35:21	CHARTS 121 18:21,23
12TH m 44:6	AHEAD (3) 26:13 28:19 29:7	BENEATH (1) 6:2	CHEAPER (1) 8:3
13 m 30:8	ALAN (1) 1:20	BEST (1) 10:1	CHECKS (1) 31:4
18 (1) 30.0	ALLOCATIONS (1) 27:15	BETWEEN 121 31:16 39:22	CHOOSES (1) 36:3
1995 til 8:23	ALLOWS (1) 40:23	BILLION (1) 27:18	CIRCULATE (1) 26:9
1996 (5) 1:15 2:1 9:2 44:7,20	ALMOST (3) 5:12 17:3 21:13	BIT 131 4:14 32:16 41:23	CITIZENS (3) 2:23 15:21 39:11
10TU w 44:20	ALONE (2) 6:16 35:22	BOARD (1) 31:1	CITY 1291 1:1,12 6:6 7:12,13,14
1:15 m 43:2	ALREADY (4) 25:3,3,5,8	BOARDS (1) 29:15	15,23 8:7 9:25 11:9 12:9 15:21
1:30 (1) 43:3	ALTERNATIVE m 33:3	BOAT (5) 4:23 14:14,15 15:12	17:16 19:10 22:15 29:1,14 31:
1:35 (2) 43:3,5	ALTHOUGH (4) 5:25,6 11:13	37:21	16 32:7 33:5 35:18 38:9,16,23
1ST (1) 5:2	24:4	BOB (6) 1:20 3:20 14:8 24:1 25:	39:9 40:10,11 43:7
	AMONG 111 16:14	631:21	CITY'S til 22:13
2	AMORIZATION (3) 20:9,11,24	BOCHNOWSKI (31) 1:19 16:12	CLAIMS 11 26:20,21
2 ເນ 19:11	AMOUNT (1) 21:16	17:10 18:7,11,20 19:2 21:19	CLEAN (1) 7:16
20TH (1) 38:7	ANN (3) 1:19 19:8 28:24	22:10,17,22 23:1,12 26:13 27:	CLOSING (1) 37:23
22 m 19:11	ANNUAL [4] 20:3 27:19 34:22 35:25	1,8,23 28:1,6,17,22 29:6 30:8	CODES til 29:17
22ND m 5:25	ANNUALLY (1) 27:20	36:4 37:9,11 40:17 41:8,11,15,	COMBINING (1) 16:20
2	ANOTHER (2) 2:12 17:19	19 BOCKNOWSKI (1) 38:17	COME (9) 2:18 3:4 4:9 5:3 22:
3	ANSWER (2) 10:10 24:20	BONDS (3) 20:11,12,15	19 27:14 28:4 42:20 43:1
31 (2) 13:22 14:16		BOOK 11 18:9	COMES (2) 5:17 18:19
35 ເນ 13:22	21	BOTH (3) 4:21 33:5 40:11	COMFORTABLE (1) 11:12
	ANSWERING ttl 25:16	BOUND (2) 13:15,16	COMING (2) 2:13 24:18
4	ANSWERS m 39:6	BRANCH m 2:12	COMMENCING (2) 1:14 44:8
40 m 6:4	ANTICIPATE (4) 4:24 8:16 18:4	BRIAN m 4:3	COMMENTS (3) 37:15,18 38:10
444 (2) 1:13 44:7	20:6	BRIEF (1) 38:9	COMMERCIAL (1) 15:4
45 m 43:3	ANTICIPATED (1) 20:1	BRING (2) 28:5 33:12	COMMISSION 1291 2:14,20 3:3
5	ANTICIPATING (1) 34:22	BROUGHT m 5:13	11 4:10,18,19 5:4 6:10,11 9:5
	ANYWAY (1) 33:14	BUDREAU (1) 4:4	10:9 11:18 13:3 16:8 22:7 23:6 8 24:13 25:9,23 26:25 27:9 33:
5 m 14:16	APART [2] 6:9 31:1	DOI 1 111 CT CT CT CT CT CT CT	5,24 37:1,16,20 40:1
5TH (i) 11:21	APPLICATION (2) 5:23 32:3	BUILD (1) 27:19	COMMISSION'S (2) 37:7 42:10
6	APPLIES (1) 44:24	BUILDING (1) 27:18	COMMISSIONER 121 16:15 18:
	APPRECIATE (2) 4:9 38:19 APPROXIMATELY (6) 6:3 7:6,	BUILDINGS (2) 12:8 28:13	15
60 m 6:3	17.19.24 13:22	BUNCH (1) 8:18	COMMISSIONERS (2) 4:8 26:
7	APRIL (4) 5:2 37:22 38:6,6	BURDENING (2) 6:13 8:18	10
	AREA (1) 20:4	BUSINESS (5) 10:5 15:7 28:1,	COMMITMENT (1) 39:11
7 (10) 33:9 34:2,10,18 39:13,18,	AREN'T (1) 24:20	BUSINESSES (1) 15:5	COMMITMENTS (3) 10:18 11:
20,21 42.23,2	ARROWS (1) 19:5		41:12
7.5 (2) 34:20 35:17	ARTICLES (3) 4:15 6:13 8:20	C	COMMITTEE (5) 1:2,12,17 2:3
A	ASIDE (1) 39:19	CALENDAR (1) 34:23	44:10
	ASSET (3) 33:10,11,17	CALL (7) 3:7 16:16 20:13,13 21:	COMMITTEES to 29:17
A.M (3) 1:15 2:2 44:9	ASSETS (6) 11:21,22 16:21,22	2,4 26:23	COMMON IN 21.21
ABILITY (1) 23:9	26:18 33:9	CALLED til 10:20	COMPANIES (6) 7:17,22 8:1
ABLE (4) 8:10 16:4,18 38:17	ASSOCIATES in 2:6	CAME in 5:8	19:7 29:4,14
ABSOLUTELY (1) 36:19	ASSUME (2) 30:14 34:16	CAMERA (1) 19:10	COMPANY (36) 2:9 5:23 6:25,
AC (6) 19:12,17,22 20:1 26:15,	ASSUMING m 30:23		25,3,5 7:10,23 8:18 9:23 10:3,4
16 ACADEMY (1) 4:19	ASSURANCES (1) 3:25	CAPABILITY (1) 8:8 CAPTURED (3) 8:16 9:10 10:14	11:10 16:1,4,10,19 17:25,25,8,
ACCESS (1) 5:18	ASSURE (2) 12:11 26:7	CARE (1) 28:22	20,22,23 18:3,5 23:20 29:1 30: 12,21,21 31:2,7 35:3,23 36:25,
ACCOMPLISHED to 25:10:41:	ASSURED (1) 26:4	CARRIED m 3:1	12,21,21 31:2,7 35:3,23 36:25, 10
ACCOMPLISHED (3) 35:19 41: 17,18	ATLANTIC (17) 6:6 7:12,13,14,	CARRYING (1) 20:20	COMPETITION (2) 29:9 30:16
ACCOUNT (1) 34:23	15,23 8:7 9:25 11:9 12:8 17:16	CASE (1) 30:23	COMPLETE (2) 16:23 44:17
ACCOUNTANT (1) 27:9	19:10 29:1,14 31:16 39:9 40:11	CASH (28) 6:19 7:5,9,10 10:22	COMPLETED (8) 5:12,18,18 6:
ACCOUNTING (2) 18:18 27:24	ATTACHED (2) 36:23 44:15 ATTEMPTED (1) 20:24	13:23 16:1,3,5,5,6,9,10,13,24	6.20 7:2.5 24:9
ACCURATE (10) 6:11 7:4 9:6,7,	ATTORNEYS (1) 20:24	17:11 27:6,14,22,23 28:9,10,	COMPLEX (2) 5:22 9:4
		14,15,15 34:9,10 36:12	COMMUNICATED 47.0 40.0
J7.18 18:17.23.23 44:17		17 5 6 15 17 10 0 17 17 6 17 F 7 1 F 9	
7,18 18:17,23,23 44:17 ACCURATELY (1) 19:1	AUDIT (1) 29:17	CASINO (19) 2:7,12 6:25 7:15 8	110
7,18 18:17,23,23 44:17 ACCURATELY (1) 19:1 ACQUISITION (1) 2:6	AUTHORIZED m 44:5	121 9:15 10:25.2.3.4.23 11:22	110 COMPRISE (1) 44:16
ACCURATELY (1) 19:1	AUTHORIZED (1) 44:5 AVAILABLE (5) 7:7 8:24 11:9	21 9:15 10:25,2,3,4,23 11:22 12:25 29:25 30:14,16 31:5 35:	10
ACCURATELY (1) 19:1 ACCUISITION (1) 2:6	AUTHORIZED (1) 44:5 AVAILABLE (5) 7:7 8:24 11:9	121 9:15 10:25.2.3.4.23 11:22	COMPRISE (1) 44:16

HELPING (1) 33:13 HELPS (1) 18:13 **HEREBY** (1) 44:6 **HEREUNTO** (1) 44:19 HIGH [4] 20:17 21:17 26:24 27: HIGHER (1) 20:4 HISTORY (1) 23:7 HOLDERS (1) 26:19 HOLDING 191 2:8 6:7 11:9 17: 22 18:3 30:11 32:13,17 33:2 HOLDINGS (14) 6:7 7:13,14,23 19:10,12,13,17,22 20:2,10 26: 16,16 35:10 HÓMES (1) 21:25 HONEST (1) 18:16 HONESTLY (2) 38:19 40:13 HOPE (2) 5:1 42:9 HOPEFUL (1) 22:9 HOTEL (7) 1:13 2:4 10:4 15:20 16:10,12 44:7 HOTELS 1241 2:7,12 5:24 6:3 7: 7 8:7,11,12 9:3,22,24 10:3,21 11:22 12:25,9 16:2,6 29:1 30: 14.16 31:5.23 35:5 HÓUR (2) 1:15 44:9 HOWEVER (3) 11:8 40:14 42:7

IMMEDIATELY (1) 16:22 IMPORTANT (2) 2:17 41:16 IMPORTANTLY (6) 8:6,20 9:25, 6,12 16:5 IMPRESS (1) 40:14 IMPROVEMENTS m 5:9 INACCURATE (1) 9:20 INC (5) 2:7,11,12,16 30:14 INCLUDE (4) 12:17 22:25.25 INCLUDED (2) 11:18 14:15 INCLUDES (1) 10:21 INCLUDING in 15:20 INCLUSIVELY (1) 44:16 INCOME 1213 8:9 9:1,1 10:15 16:16,17,23 17:4,7 19:20,23 23:19 24:12 25:21 27:12,16 33: 8,18 34:18 36:2 39:22 INDEBTEDNESS [2] 11:24,24 INDENTURE (2) 13:14 16:17 INDENTURES (2) 17:1,2 INDEPENDENT (2) 29:15 39:8 INDEPENDENTLY (1) 29:21 INDIANA (47) 1:13,14 2:11,16, 23 5:13 6:9,14,16,21,22,23,24 7:8 8:13,14,15,16 9:11,14 10: 13,14 11:5,67,10,10,23 12:1, 10 23:2 26:18,20 31:16 32:25,2 34:24 35:4,10,12,16,20 39:11 40:10 44:1,8,8 INDIANAPOLIS (1) 3:17 INDICATED (8) 2:22 14:21 15: 16 19:9 31:15 39:18 40:8 41:6 INDICATES (1) 8:17 INDIVIDUAL (1) 6:1 INFORMATION (4) 2:19 11:18 12:22 37:6 INITIAL (7) 6:5 13:6,18 14:1,18 1:15 41:12 INITIALLY 121 13:20 15:10 INPUT (1) 40:3 INSPECT (1) 5:4 INSTRUMENT 121 17:6 29:23 **INSTRUMENTAL (1) 4:3** INSTRUMENTS 121 26:17 30:1 INTEGRATED (1) 17:5 INTEGRATING in 17:6 INTEND (1) 24:23 INTENT (2) 24:23 25:18 INTENTIONS (1) 33:24 INTEREST 1251 8:4,5 16:22 17: 18 19:21,22 20:25,1,3,5,12,21

21:7,9,12 26:23 30:3,3 31:25

35:18 39:20,20 40:9,9 42:25 INTERESTÉD (1) 39:15 INTERIOR (1) 4:23 INTERNAL (1) 32:3 INVEST (1) 23:10 INVESTMENT (4) 3:23 24:4.9 INVESTORS [6] 21:24 22:25,4, 18 23:9 29:10 INVOLVED [4] 4:2 9:14,15 39:1 ISN'T (5) 9:4 17:11,23 23:12 41: ISSUE 121 23:11 29:19 ISSUED (3) 2:21 15:10 39:25 **ISSUES (1) 42:8** ITEMS (5) 11:3 15:22 27:15,22 42:19 ITSELF [4] 5:12,20 14:6,23 IVY (2) 4:19,19

JACK (1) 32:10 JACKSONVILLE (1) 4:24 JENRETTE (2) 3:23 15:15 JERSEY 191 7:25,6 9:13,13,15 10:5 17:17,18 26:21 JOB 121 4:13 24:10 JOINT 121 22:3,13 **JR** m 1:18 JUNE (2) 6:6 32:15

KEEP (1) 40:1 KEPT (1) 17:17 KLINEMAN (50) 1:20 2:5 3:14 10:11,24 11:4,20 12:3,15,18 13:4,9,12,17,21 14:1,5,11,20, 23 15:1,9,18 17:21 18:25 21: 10,14 24:19 25:13 26:11,14 27: 25 28:4 30:2,9 31:20 36:6,20 37:2,5,24 38:4,11,14 41:22 42: 11,14,18 43:25,5

LAKE เรา 1:13,13 2:4 44:7,7 LANDSIDE (2) 5:5,9 LAPORTE (4) 1:13,14 44:3,8 LARGEST (1) 10:4 LAST (4) 29:18 32:6,15 42:4 LATER (2) 6:15 11:15 LAW [2] 3:17 40:20 LAWRENCE (1) 5:2 **LAWS** tri **33**:12 **LAWYER** (1) 33:13 LAWYERS (2) 18:17 33:12 LEAST (5) 24:22 29:3 35:13 39: 5 41:12 LEGAL (1) 22:20 LEGALLY (1) 13:16 LEND (1) 12:19

14 24:22 25:18 26:2 LEVEL (12) 7:22 8:10,20 16:1,3, 4 17:22,23 31:7 33:7 34:8 36: LICENSE (2) 41:11,18 LICENSING m 43:6 LIGHT (1) 12:20 LINE 131 27:13 37:12 38:7

LETTER (7) 7:4 10:9 11:21 15:

LESS (1) 2:22

LINES to 11:20 LIQUIDITY III 34:14 LITTLE (4) 4:13 18:7 39:22 41:

LOCAL 151 21:24 22:25,17 23:9,

LONDON (1) 38:3 LONG 121 23:8 38:23 LONG-TERM (2) 20:8,8 LOOK 191 5:23 11:16 19:3,5 27: 12,13 35:9 37:5 40:7 LÓOKING (4) 13:10 27:12 28:

24 29:7 LOSING (1) 30:15 LOSSES (2) 27:10,22 LOT 131 5:16 9:19 21:23 LOWER (1) 8:5 LOYALTIES (1) 29:9 LOYALTY (1) 29:19 LUFKIN 131 3:23 15:15 42:5 LUNCH (1) 43:4

M.D m 1:18 MACHINE (1) 44:12 MACHINES 121 5:1 15:6 MADE (5) 6:12 9:5,6 24:3 25:5 MAGESTIC (1) 4:21 MAGESTICS (1) 10:19 MAHAL (12) 2:6 6:15 7:11,12 16,17 8:21 9:21 12:5 17:3 27:3 MAIL to 36:23 MAIN (1) 5:10 MAN (1) 38:19 MANDATORILY (1) 21:12 MANNER (1) 3:1 MANY (3) 18:22 24:3,6 MAP (1) 36:22 MARCH (2) 4:24 37:22 MARIE (1) 1:19 MARK (3) 1:21 3:22 21:3 **MARKET** (1) 6:18 MASTER (1) 10:19 MATERIAL (1) 13:12 MATTER (2) 4:10,13 MEAN (8) 11:11 17:12 18:12 19 4 21:20,23 41:9 42:3 MEANS (4) 8:24 39:20 40:23 44:12 MECHANISM [4] 32:23 33:3,4, MEET (6) 9:1 10:18 16:23 17:4, 21 23:20 MEETING 151 4:5 19:23 42:7,20 **NOTHING** (1) 2:22 **NUMBER** (1) 44:16 MEETS 121 36:5,12

MEMBERS 151 1:17,18,24 2:3 3 MERELY (1) 32:18 **MERGED** (1) 9:24 MERGER 181 2:13 4:3 7:1 9:21 25:24 26:4 37:7,17 MET (3) 10:16 22:8 34:25 MICHIGAN (3) 1:1,12 43:6 MID (1) 37:22 MIGHT 121 12:19 43:3 MILCAREK (1) 1:21 MILD (1) 5:7 MILLION (21) 7:6,18,19,24 8:22 9:2 13:20,21 14:7,8,10,11,17 16:7 17:2,3,15 20:4 27:6 28:14 36:9 MIND (3) 13:25,2,2 MINUTES (1) 43:3

MISS m 18:13 MODEL (1) 5:24 MOMENT (1) 26:15 MONDAY 121 1:15 2:1 MONEY (29) 6:20,22,24 8:10,14 14,16,24 9:9,10 10:13,14 11:5, 8 13:17 16:10,18 18:6,19 19: 25,25,18 23:20 28:3,4,5,13 35: 17 38:19 MONEYS (6) 11:11 13:23 15:18 OPEN (2) 4:21 14:17 23 34:24 36:11 MONTHS (1) 24:8 MORNING (2) 4:7.8 MORTGAGE 183 7:18,19 8:9 12 4,4,8 **2**8:9,10 MORTGAGES (2) 8:25 28:12

MOST (6) 8:21 21:17 24:16 27:

MOVE (1) 19:11 MOVES (1) 20:2 MOVING (4) 5:20 22:8 23:25 32:11 MS 1301 16:12 17:10 18:11,20 19:2 21:19 22:10,17,22 23:1,12 26:13 27:1,8,23 28:1,6,17,22 29:6 30:8 36:4 37:9,11 38:17 40:17 41:8,11,15,19 MUCH ஞ 5:8 10:12 11:1 21:16 28:22 34:12 37:3 40:23 42:6 MUST (1) 25:24

NAME (4) 3:15 31:20 38:12,13 NATALIE (1) 44:5 NATURE (2) 24:22 25:18 NEED (6) 7:7 11:10 34:1,3,23 **NEEDED** (1) 16:2 NEEDS (4) 11:18 34:15 42:3.8 NEGOTIATE (1) 30:7 **NERVOUS** (1) 19:2 NET 1161 8:9 9:1,1 16:16,17,23 17:4,7 19:20,23,23 27:10,11, 12,16,22 NÉVÉR (3) 17:4 23:5 29:19 NEW [12] 7:25,6,24 8:3 9:12,13. 15 10:5 16:16 17:17,18 26:21 NEWSPAPER (1) 4:15 NEXT (6) 5:14 24:8 25:22 32:22 23 42:21 NICK (3) 3:18 38:13 40:19 NOMENCLATURE (1) 25:19 NON (2) 27:14,21 NONE (2) 12:10 26:20 **NONRECOURSE (4) 12:25,6** 26:22 40:8 NORMAL (3) 15:1,4,7 NOTE (1) 4:18 NOTES (5) 7:18,19 19:21 40:8

O'CLOCK (1) 2:2 000 (1) 43:24 OATH (1) 44:6 OBLIGATION (6) 26:17 36:6,9, **OBLIGATIONS** (3) 35:1,24 36: **OBVIOUSLY** [14] 5:3,17 10:5. 18,22 13:15 17:13,13,16 28:10 29:13,13 37:15,21 OCCASIONS (1) 4:12 OCCUR (1) 20:1 OFFERING (5) 2:10,16 14:19 **32:15 37:1**3 OFFERINGS (1) 13:6 **OFFICIAL** (1) 44:20 OFFSET in 27:20 OKAY 181 11:20 13:4,17 19:2 28:18 40:21 42:18 43:25 ONE 1251 6:7 7:22 17:2,3,6 23:4 26:12,14 27:2 28:18,19,19 29: 3,10 33:12 36:13,13,21,24 37: 25,9 40:17 41:8 42:8,14 ONLY (13) 6:7,10 10:1 16:3 18: 24 19:21 20:12 21:12 22:17 27: 17 33:2 40:25 44:24 OPENING (1) 5:5 **OPERATE (1) 29:20** OPERATING (10) 8:22 10:1 17: 18 27:5,6,13,14,14,21 28:15 OPERATION (10) 15:3 32:5 35: 22 39:8,9,10,19,21 42:1,2

OPERATIONAL m 16:21

30:25 32:9

OPERATIONS 151 6:1 16:5.14

3.16.17

MOÚTH (1) 5:1